

made `trivial` by an implementation. The default constructors of both `std::pair` and `std::tuple` require that they `value-initialize` their elements, resulting in operations that can *never* be `trivial`.

4. **Conditionally defaultable operations** — The remaining operations are defined in such a way that they might be eligible to use `=default` for some potential `template arguments`. A noteworthy example is the `assignment operators` of `std::pair` and `std::tuple`, which are required to do a memberwise assignment, even when the `member type` is a reference. The `defaulted` assignment operation would be `deleted` in this case, so for at least some `template arguments` these operations will not be `trivial`. It would be possible to conditionally `default` these operations for nonreference types, but that involves `partial specialization` and complicated inheritance schemes or the need for an explosion of mildly varying implementations. This significant cost leads most library vendors to not attempt to make these operations `trivial`, and their being `trivial` is something that must be left as `QoI` and not a trait that can be portably depended upon.⁶⁴

The Standard does not describe the layout of its classes, nor does it list the private `members` that may be used to implement them. In principle, the implementation of `std::pair` could have additional private `members` or declare `first` and `second` in different public base classes,⁶⁵ resulting in a `conforming implementation` that is never a `standard-layout type`. Similarly, `std::tuple` is often implemented through inheritance (recursively or through `pack expansion`) of a distinct type for each `member element`, resulting in a type that cannot be a `standard-layout type` for anything with more than one element. An implementation *could* provide distinct `specializations` for `standard-layout` fixed numbers of elements, but having multiple such `specializations` would be a labor-intensive solution to achieve `standard layout` for a subset of potential `template arguments` and is a `QoI` choice that standard library vendors do not seem to have made.

See Also

- “Aggregate Init '14” (§1.2, p. 138) introduces the notion of default member initialization to `aggregates`.
- “Braced Init” (§2.1, p. 215) provides additional insight into `aggregates` as well as other forms of `braced initialization`.
- “`constexpr` Functions” (§2.1, p. 257) shows how `trivial types` can be made usable at compile time.

⁶⁴Modern versions of GCC, Clang, and MSVC always implement `std::pair`'s `copy` and `move-assignment operators` as user-provided functions.

⁶⁵An example of such an implementation can be found in the BDE open-source library implementation of `pair` maintained by the authors of this book. This implementation partially `specializes` `pair` for `template arguments` of reference type such that instantiations are `trivially copyable` if and only if both `template arguments` are of `trivially copyable nonreference type`; see `bde14`, `/groups/bsl/bslstl/bslstl_pair.h`.