Section 2.1 C++11

 \oplus

Generalized PODs '11

 \oplus

every POD type in C++ has a corresponding type in C that — at least in practice — is structurally compatible with it, even if its C rendering lacks certain member functions, access controls, empty base classes, and so on that might otherwise pertain in C++; see Use Cases — Translating a C++-only type to C (standard layout) on page 452.

Being a C++ POD-**struct**, though almost always an overly strict constraint, is sufficient to guarantee many other useful properties not generally afforded to other **class types**. The details of the minimal requirements needed for any given property to hold are discussed in subsequent sections; e.g., see *Standard-layout types* on page 417 and *Trivial types* on page 425. Let's now consider some of the special properties and advantages that *all* PODs enjoy.

 Contiguous storage — All objects of POD type, a.k.a. POD objects, occupy contiguous bytes of storage. The value representation of a POD object is a subset of the bits in that storage, and the valid values of a POD object are an implementationdefined set of values that those bits can take on. Consider a POD-struct, S1, containing a char and a short:

```
struct S1 // POD-struct whose size is typically 4 bytes
{
    char a; // always exactly 1 byte
        // typically 1 byte of padding for alignment purposes
    short b; // at least (and typically exactly) 2 bytes
};
```

Objects of this POD type are typically stored in exactly 4 contiguous bytes and have a value representation of 24 noncontiguous bits. The 8 extra padding bits are not part of the value representation.

Objects with virtual base classes might potentially have not just a noncontiguous value representation but also a noncontiguous object representation, since the virtual base subobject might not be adjacent to the rest of the object. This reason is one of a few that explains why types with virtual base classes are not POD types.

 Predictable layout — The layout of every POD object is stable and in some important ways predictable. For example, the first nonstatic data member (e.g., x) of a POD-struct (e.g., X) is guaranteed to reside at the same address as does the PODstruct object (e.g., pso) itself:

```
struct X { int x; } pso; // POD-struct object
static_assert(static_cast<void*>(&pso. x), "");
```

This property of a POD-struct predates C++03 and is true even in C. Although base classes are not permitted for C++03 POD types, in C++11 the address of a POD-struct having one or more base classes is the same as that of its first base class: