inline namespace

Chapter 3 Unsafe Features

 \oplus

As suggested by the name v1, this namespace serves primarily as a **mechanism** to support library evolution through API and ABI versioning (see *Link-safe ABI versioning* on page 1067 and *Build modes and ABI link safety* on page 1071). The need to specialize **class Parser** and, independently, the reliance on ADL to find the **free function** template analyze require the use of **inline** namespaces, as opposed to a conventional namespace followed by a **using** directive.

Note that, whenever a subsystem starts out directly in a first-level namespace and is subsequently moved to a second-level nested namespace for the purpose of versioning, declaring the inner namespace **inline** is the most **reliable** way to avoid inadvertently destabilizing existing clients; see also *Enabling selective using directives for short-named entities* on page 1074.

Now suppose we decide to enhance parselib in a non-backwards-compatible manner, such that the **signature** of parse takes a second **argument size** of type **std::size_t** to allow parsing of non-null-terminated strings and to reduce the risk of buffer overruns. Instead of unilaterally removing all support for the previous version in the new release, we can create a second namespace, v2, containing the new implementation and then, at some point, make v2 the **inline** namespace instead of v1:

```
#include <cstddef> // std::size_t
namespace parselib
{
    namespace v1 // Notice that v1 is now just a nested namespace.
    {
        template <typename T>
        class Parser
        {
            // ...
        public:
            Parser();
            int parse(T* result, const char* input);
        }
    }
}
```

1064

 \oplus