carries_dependency

Ð

Chapter 3 Unsafe Features

 \oplus

```
// my_app.cpp:
#include <my_shareddata.h>
#include <thread> // std::thread
int main()
{
    std::thread t2(accessSharedData);
    std::thread t1( initSharedData);
    t1.join();
    t2.join();
}
```

When this *release-acquire synchronization paradigm* is used, the compiler must maintain the statements' ordering to avoid breaking the *release-acquire* guarantee; the compiler must also insert memory-fence instructions to prevent the hardware from breaking this guarantee.

If we wanted to modify the example above to use *release-consume* semantics, we would somehow need to make the **assert** statements a part of the dependency chain on the **load** from the **guard** object. We can accomplish this goal because reading data through a pointer establishes a dependency chain between the reading of that pointer value and the reading of the referenced data. Since *release-consume* allows the developer to specify that data of concern, using that policy instead of *release-acquire* policy (in the code example above) allows the compiler to be more selective in its use of memory fences:

```
// my_shareddata.cpp (use _*consume, not *_acquire):
#include <my_shareddata.h>
#include <atomic> // std::atomic, std::memory_order_release, and
                   // std::memory_order_consume (not *_acquire)
#include <cassert> // standard C assert macro
struct S
{
    /* definition not changed */
};
static S
                       data;
                                       // static for insulation (as before)
static std::atomic<S*> guard(nullptr); // guards just one struct S.
void initSharedData()
{
    data.i = 42;
                   // as before
    data.c = 'c'; // as before
   data.d = 5.0; // as before
    guard.store(&data, std::memory_order_release); // Set &data, not 1.
}
```

1002

 \oplus